



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

XXV. *On the Light of the Cassegrainian Telescope, compared with that of the Gregorian.* By Captain Henry Kater, *Brigade-Major.* Communicated by the Right Hon. Sir Joseph Banks, Bart. K. B. P. R. S.

Read May 27, 1813.

THE Cassegrainian telescope from its first invention to the present time, has generally been considered to be merely the Gregorian disguised, and to possess no other advantages over it than the capability of being made shorter with the same magnifying power. This opinion, joined to the inconvenience of its inverting the object, has caused it to be thrown aside, perhaps too hastily, and without a sufficient examination of its properties.

As the experiments which I am about to detail may possibly lead to important conclusions, I shall perhaps be pardoned if I relate the circumstances which induced me to engage in them.

A self-taught artist of the name of CRICKMORE, who resides at Ipswich, had by exclusive attention to the subject, brought the Gregorian telescope to a degree of perfection surpassing any thing of the kind I have ever yet met with. Some months since, in the course of his experiments, he first completed a Cassegrainian telescope of one foot in length, and on viewing Jupiter with it, with a power of about 100, I was instantly struck with the brightness of the image, far exceeding what might have been expected from the aperture ; but I supposed

this to have been a deception arising from the specula being more exquisitely figured than usual, which, producing greater distinctness, occasioned the idea of superior light. A short time after this, the same artist received an order for another telescope, which, from the success that had attended his recent efforts, he recommended to be of the Cassegrainian form. The aperture was five inches, the length thirty inches, and with a power of near 400 the image was so perfectly distinct and luminous, that I could no longer hesitate to conclude that, from some unknown cause, the Cassegrainian telescope actually possessed far more light than the Gregorian, and I waited most anxiously for an opportunity of verifying this, and determining the difference by experiment.

Such an opportunity soon presented itself, and under circumstances peculiarly favourable, as another excellent telescope of the Cassegrainian form was made, and I was fortunate enough to procure a Gregorian made by Mr. CRICKMORE some time before. The mirrors of both these telescopes were cast at the same time, and from the same pattern, so that no difference of light could arise from any difference in the composition of the metal. The magnifying power of both instruments was ascertained by experiment to be very nearly equal; but the excess was rather on the side of the Cassegrainian.

The telescopes being placed side by side, were directed to a printed card, at the distance of fifty yards; and on viewing it, the far superior brightness of the image in the Cassegrainian was strikingly apparent. Having prepared a circular piece of paste-board to close the end of the Cassegrainian telescope, I drew a number of concentric circles on it, at the distance of the twentieth of an inch from each other. The paste-board

was then placed in the end of the tube, and an aperture was made, which was enlarged by cutting out one circle after another till the card appeared equally bright through both telescopes, and of this, the eye judges most accurately.

The following measures were then taken.

Cassegrainian Telescope.		Gregorian Telescope.	
Diameter of the circular opening in the paste-board - -	Inch. 2, 70	Diameter of the large mirror - -	Inch. 3, 90
Diameter of the back of the small mirror -	1, 09	Diameter of the back of the small mirror -	1, 00
Length of the arm -	0,805	Length of the arm -	1, 45
Thickness - -	0,200	Thickness - -	0, 20

From the above measures the following calculations were made.

Cassegrainian Telescope.		Gregorian Telescope.	
	Inch.		Inch.
Area of the circular opening in the paste-board	5,726	Area of the large mirror	11,946
Area of the back of the small mirror to be deducted -	0,933	Area of the back of the small mirror to be deducted -	0,785
Area of the arm to be deducted - -	0,161	Area of the arm to be deducted -	0,290
	<hr/> 1,094		<hr/> 1,075
Area of the portion of the mirror exposed to the light - -	<hr/> 4,632	Area of the portion of the mirror exposed to the light - -	<hr/> 10,871

From this experiment it appears, that the light in both telescopes was equal when the area of the aperture of the Cassegrainian, was to that of the Gregorian, as 4,632 to 10,871. Now the increase of light being (under similar circumstances) directly as the area of the aperture, it follows that if the aperture of the Cassegrainian be made equal to that of the Gregorian, the light in favour of the former will be as 10,871 to 4,632, or in the surprising proportion of 7 to 3 nearly.

A difference of such magnitude could not be admitted but with extreme caution, particularly as the Gregorian telescope had been made some time, and its mirrors might therefore be supposed not to possess so high a polish, as those of the Cassegrainian which had been recently finished; but I was soon enabled to pursue the subject, as a Gregorian telescope was made by Mr. CRICKMORE fully equal, if not superior, to any he had before constructed; the mirrors were of an exquisite polish. The Cassegrainian, used in this experiment, was the one I formerly mentioned, the aperture of which was five inches, and the length thirty inches. It had not been carefully preserved, and the large mirror had lost somewhat of its original polish. All circumstances being thus in favour of the Gregorian, a paste-board circle was prepared, and the experiment conducted as before. When the images of the card were equally bright, the following measures were taken.

Cassegrainian Telescope.		Gregorian Telescope.	
Diameter of the circular opening in the paste-board - - -	Inch. 3, 50	Diameter of the large mirror - - -	Inch. 3, 95
Diameter of the back of the small mirror -	1,375	Diameter of the back of the small mirror -	0, 95
Length of the arm -	1,063	Length of the arm -	1, 50
Thickness of the arm	0, 20	Thickness of the arm	0,175
		Length of a bar containing the adjustment -	0, 70
		Its width - -	0, 15
		Diameter of three semi-circles used as rests for the great mirror -	0,375

From the above measures the following calculations were made.

Cassegrainian Telescope.		Gregorian Telescope.	Inch.
Area of the circular opening in the paste-board	Inch. 9,621	Area of the large mirror	12,254
Area of the back of the small mirror to be deducted -	1,485	Area of the back of the small mirror to be deducted -	0,709
Area of the arm to be deducted -	0,213	Area of the arm to be deducted -	0,263
-----	1,698	Area of the bar containing the adjustment to be deducted	0,105
		Area of the three semi-circles to be deducted - -	0,166
		-----	1,243
Area of the portion of the mirror exposed to the light - -	7,923	Area of the portion of the mirror exposed to the light - -	11,011
	-----		-----

The magnifying power having been determined (by experiment) to be 188 in the Cassegrainian, and 182 in the Gregorian, the expression for the relative quantity of light becomes

$$\frac{11,011}{182^2} \text{ to } \frac{7,923}{188^2} \text{ or as } 332 \text{ to } 224, \text{ being nearly as } 3 \text{ to } 2.$$

In the first experiment, the advantages of polish were, *perhaps*, on the side of the Cassegrainian telescope; in the last, they were *much* in favour of the Gregorian; a mean therefore of both results may probably be considered as approaching the truth, and the light of a telescope of the Cassegrainian construction, may be taken, to that of a Gregorian of the same aperture and power, as about 60 to 33.

A fact so new, naturally leads the mind to hazard a conjecture as to the cause. In the Gregorian telescope a column of light from a point of the object, is received on the large mirror, and reflected in a cone of rays, the vertex of which is its focus, where an image is formed. Here all these rays meet in a single *point*, and crossing each other, fall on the small concave mirror whence they are again reflected, and form another image near the eye. Now, if light be supposed to consist of particles of matter, is it not possible that these particles, crossing in the same point, may interfere with each other? or, when thus forced within a certain distance of each other, may not a power of repulsion exist, which would occasion many of them to be dissipated? In the Cassegrainian telescope the rays reflected from the great mirror are received by the small convex mirror *before* they arrive at their focus, and are consequently reflected back without having crossed as in the Gregorian. The conclusion then seems to be, that wherever an image is formed, much light is lost, and this con-

clusion perhaps derives additional force from a circumstance noticed in most elementary works on optics, viz. that the satellites of Jupiter and his belts, may be distinctly seen with a Galilean telescope, whilst with an astronomical telescope of an equal aperture and power, they remain invisible.

Ipswich, 22d April, 1813.